



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/828,947

04/21/2004

Edward Triou JR.

MSFT-3017/307734.01

7147

41505

7590

09/22/2008

WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION)
CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR
2929 ARCH STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891

EXAMINER

CONTINO, PAUL F

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2114

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/22/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10828947	4/21/04	TRIOU ET AL.	MSFT-3017/307734.01

WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION)
CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR
2929 ARCH STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891

EXAMINER

PAUL F. CONTINO

ART UNIT	PAPER
2114	20080916

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The Appeal dated September 4, 2008 is to be amended as follows:

(3) Status of Claims

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.
The statement of the status of claims contained in the brief is incorrect. A correct statement of the status of the claims is as follows:
This appeal involves claims 1-12, 14, 22-24, and 27.
Claims 1-7, 22-24, and 27 are allowed.
Claims 8-12 and 14 are rejected.

(9) Grounds of Rejection

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahrens JR (2002/0124213) in view of Miller (7,058,860), further in view of Snover (6,438,716).

As per claim 12:

A method according to claim 11, further comprising emphasizing said new failure characteristics in a GUI with respect to failure characteristics that are not new. (Snover column 7, lines 19-27)

It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have included the emphasis as taught by Snover in the combined invention of Ahrens JR and Miller. This would have been obvious because Snover teaches use of a GUI for emphasis of presenting failure characteristics offers an improved method for displaying custom error messages. (Snover column 1, lines 44-50)

/Gabriel L. Chu/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2114